

ASSESS360

# RTO Without Regret: HR's Guide to Reduce Friction, Retain Talent, and Rebuild Culture

## The Reality of RTO: HR Leaders Caught in the Middle

Many HR leaders must implement return-to-office (RTO) policies imposed by executives, government regulations, or board directives—often with little room for employee input. Balancing these mandates with workforce realities is challenging, as rigid, top-down policies clash with employees' growing expectations for flexibility, autonomy, and work-life balance.

Without thoughtfully listening to employees along the way, HR leaders risk fueling disengagement, turnover, and negative press. Even if you didn't choose the RTO mandate, your implementation strategy will shape your organization's culture. This toolkit helps HR and Talent leaders turn top-down directives into catalysts for higher engagement, stronger trust, and lasting resilience.

#### The Risks of Rushing into Action

Here are the common pitfalls when RTO is rushed without meaningful employee input:



**Turnover Surges:** Rigid RTO mandates can lead to increased voluntary departures, as employees cite a lack of trust and autonomy



**Productivity Plummets**: "Faux ductivity" i rises when employees feel forced into environments that stifle their best performance



**Tailored Support Declines:** Universal policies may overlook differences in job functions, caregiving needs, and disabilities



**Reputation Crumbles:** Mishandled RTO implementations can trigger internal pushback, public petitions, and negative media coverage

### Your RTO Implementation Style Can Make or Break Your Workplace Culture

How you implement RTO policies determines whether your culture suffers or thrives. A spectrum of approaches exists—from inflexible, top-down mandates that risk disengagement to dynamic, employee-informed strategies that drive trust and innovation.



## Command & Control

Increased Risk

Structure & Adjust



Listen & Evolve

Increased Trust

- Top-down directive with little to no employee input
- "One-size-fits-all" approach, minimal flexibility
- High risk of pushback, disengagement, and turnover
- Leadership provides guidelines, but teams adapt independently
- Employee feedback is sought but not always acted on
- Moderately inclusive with gaps in transparency or follow-through
- Policies shaped by ongoing employee feedback
- Emphasis on psychological safety and continuous improvement
- Strongest likelihood to maximize and build cultural resilience

According to SHRM, fauxductivity occurs when employees engage in the performative behavior of "looking busy" and working at full capacity while limiting their contributions to a bare minimum.

## Without Employee Input, RTO Mandates Can Backfire

Mishandling RTO policies can result in internal resistance, talent loss, and negative public perception. Headlines are filled with stories of companies that introduced strict return-to-office policies, only to face spikes in attrition and negative media coverage. Instead of framing the end of remote or hybrid work as a rigid, top-down mandate, HR leaders must ensure employees' perspectives are heard and factored into the transition process.

#### The High Cost of Ignoring Employee Sentiment

People who feel forced to return to the office without consideration of their needs are more likely to check out, struggle with productivity, or seek new opportunities. Taking the time to understand and address their concerns could mean the difference between a smooth transition and a high-profile retention crisis.

of remote workers said they're 46% likely to resign if called back to the office full-time

4.6x

Employees who feel heard are more empowered to do their best work

of senior-level job seekers said an RTO mandate influenced their decision to leave their job

The annual cost of lost productivity for disengaged employees in the United States

#### **Learning from Others' Missteps**

Companies that ignored employee input in their RTO rollout have faced major setbacks—higher turnover, disengagement, and reputational harm. However, those that engaged employees early and used structured listening strategies have been able to drive alignment and avoid costly missteps.

#### Rushed and Rigid RTO Rollout

A major tech company mandated a full workforce return without consulting employees or HR leaders. Within six months, they experienced:

- Sharp increase in resignations
- Plummeting engagement scores and participation
- Public protests calling out unfair treatment

**Employees left for** more flexible employers

#### **Employee-Informed Transition**

A leading financial services company took a data-driven approach to RTO, leveraging structured employee listening to guide their transition strategy. Their results:

- Notable increase in retention
- Productivity and engagement stabilized
- Employees felt more agency in the process

**Employees reported** higher trust in leadership

Sources: Liu, Jennifer, "What's in Store for Remote Work and RTO in 2025: 'Hybrid Will Be Dominant,' Says Expert," CNBC, February 2, 2025; Harter, Jim, "In New Workplace, ILS. Employee Engagement Stagnates," Gallup.com, March 25, 2025; Dyer, Chris, "Eostering Positivity: Creating a Workplace Environment That Employees Don't Want to Leave," Fast Company, September 10, 2024; "Gartner HR Research Finds One-Third of Executives Given a Return-to-Office Mandate Plan to Leave Their Employer," Gartner Newsroom, May 9, 2024.

## **RTO Readiness Checklist**

## Is Your Transition Strategy Setting You Up for Success?

Bringing employees back to the office isn't just an operational shift—it's a cultural one. Companies that fail to engage employees in the process risk losing top talent, especially in a market where flexibility is often a non-negotiable for high-performers. Use this checklist to see if your organization is prioritizing employee input or relying on a one-size-fits-all approach. **No matter your score, there's always room to improve.** 

#### **Before Transition**

- ☐ Have we identified different workforce segments (e.g., caregivers, neurodivergent talent, people with disabilities, high-performers) to understand their needs?
- ☐ Have we equipped managers with training or resources to hold meaningful RTO discussions with their teams?

### **During Transition**

- ☐ Are we clearly communicating the "why" behind RTO decisions to build trust?
- ☐ Are we offering any degree of flexibility (e.g., team-based scheduling, partial remote options) to balance leadership goals with employee realities?
- ☐ Are we tracking early warning signs of disengagement or burnout (e.g., higher absenteeism, lower productivity metrics)?

#### **After Transition**

- ☐ Do we have a plan to continuously gather employee feedback post-RTO?
- ☐ Are we regularly updating employees on any changes or adjustments based on their input?
- ☐ Have we identified the key productivity and engagement KPIs to monitor for long-term impact?

#### **INTERPRETING YOUR RESULTS**

Sum of checks assigned across all three categories:

RTO Implementation Style

# Command & Control (score range 0-3)

Your RTO approach is likely rigid and top-down, leaving little room for employee input. Without adjustments, employees may see this transition as a power move rather than a business decision—leading to long-term trust erosion.

# Structure & Adjust (score range 4–6)

You're making strides in engaging employees, but gaps remain in communication, flexibility, or postimplementation follow-up. Employees may comply, but they don't necessarily feel heard, which could lead to simmering discontent.

### Listen & Evolve

(score range 7–8)

Your RTO strategy is employee-informed, adaptive, and transparent. Employees feel their voices matter, and your organization is balancing leadership priorities with workforce realities. Sustained success depends on maintaining two-way communication—keep refining policies based on feedback to stay ahead.

## Supporting Employees Through Significant Change

Tap into Reactions and Expectations with Seramount Voice Sessions

Forward-thinking HR leaders aren't just enforcing mandates; they're using real-time employee voice data to shape RTO policies that build trust and alignment.

#### Pulse Check: Listen, Diagnose, and Act

In times of rapid change, employees often feel their voices don't matter. Here's how to prioritize employee well-being during your RTO transition:

- Strengthen feedback loops: Don't just collect employee input—clearly communicate how it's shaping policy adjustments.
- Expand flexibility where possible: Even small accommodations (e.g., teambased scheduling, occasional remote work) can increase morale and retention.
- Track early warning signs: Keep an eye on absenteeism, productivity shifts, and employee sentiment trends to catch burnout before it escalates.

#### Our Three-Step Approach to Employee Listening

Assess360's Employee Voice Sessions (EVSs) go deeper than quick pulse surveys, capturing unfiltered, actionable insight about returning to the office. These virtual listening sessions combine the power of focus groups and the reach of engagement surveys in an anonymous, psychologically safe environment. With our three-step approach to employee listening, HR leaders can avoid backlash and retain top talent in the midst of change.



We tailor questions from our cutting-edge research on workplace culture to

your unique context

Anonymous, solutionsoriented forums empower employees to share unfiltered perspectives

We deliver detailed findings with clear steps to address employee concerns

... I've never seen another tool that can gather employee insights in such an engaging way."

-Chief Culture Officer, Global Insurance Company

# RTO Without Regret: HR's Guide to Reduce Friction, Retain Talent, and Rebuild Culture

Project Manager Maria Gaston

Contributors
Michael Rizzotti

Senior Director Stefanie McNamara

#### Legal Caveat

EAB Global, Inc. ("EAB") has made efforts to verify the accuracy of the information it provides to partners. This report relies on data obtained from many sources, however, and EAB cannot guarantee the accuracy of the information provided or any analysis based thereon. In addition, neither EAB nor any of its affiliates (each, an "EAB Organization") is in the business of giving legal, accounting, or other professional advice, and its reports should not be construed as professional advice. In particular, partners should not rely on any legal commentary in this report as a basis for action, or assume that any tactics described herein would be permitted by applicable law or appropriate for a given partner's situation. Partners are advised to consult with appropriate professionals concerning legal, tax, or accounting issues, before implementing any of these tactics. No EAB Organization or any of its respective officers, directors, employees, or agents shall be liable for any claims, liabilities, or expenses relating to (a) any errors or omissions in this report, whether caused by any EAB Organization, or any of their respective employees or agents, or sources or other third partners, (b) any recommendation by any EAB Organization, or (c) failure of partner and its employees and agents to abide by the terms set forth herein.

EAB is a registered trademark of EAB Gobal, Inc. in the United States and other countries. Partners are not permitted to use these trademarks, or any other trademark, product name, service name, trade name, and logo of any EAB Organization without prior written consent of EAB. Other trademarks, product names, service names, trade names, and logos used within these pages are the property of their respective holders. Use of other company trademarks, product names, service names, trade names, and logos or image so f the same does not necessarily constitute (a) an endorsement by such company of an EAB Organization and its products and services, or (b) an endorsement of the company or its products or services by an EAB Organization. No EAB Organization is affiliated with any such company.

#### IMPORTANT: Please read the following.

EAB has prepared this report for the exclusive use of its partners. Each partner acknowledges and agrees that this report and the information contained herein (collectively, the "Report") are confidential and proprietary to EAB. By accepting delivery of this Report, each partner agrees to abide by the terms as stated herein, including the following:

- All right, title, and interest in and to this Report is owned by an EAB Organization. Except as stated herein, no right, license, permission, or interest of any kind in this Report is intended to be given, transferred to, or acquired by a partner. Each partner is authorized to use this Report only to the extent expressly authorized herein.
- Each partner shall not sell, license, republish, distribute, or post online or otherwise this Report, in part or in whole. Each partner shall not disseminate or permit the use of, and shall take reasonable precautions to prevent such dissemination or use of, this Report by (a) any of its employees and agents (except as stated below), or (b) any third party.
- 3. Each partner may make this Report available solely to those of its employees and agents who (a) are registered for the workshop or program of which this Report is a part, (b) require access to this Report in order to learn from the information described herein, and (c) agree not to discose this Report to other employees or agents or any third party. Each partner shall use, and shall ensure that its employees and agents use, this Report for its internal use only. Each partner may make a limited number of copies, solely as adequate for use by its employees and agents in accordance with the terms herein.
- Each partner shall not remove from this Report any confidential markings, copyright notices, and/or other similar indicia herein.
- Each partner is responsible for any breach of its obligations as stated herein by any of its employees or agents.
- If a partner is unwilling to abide by any of the foregoing obligations, then such partner shall promptly return this Report and all copies thereof to EAB.



